

Isotopic dependence of charge and matter radii.

Thursday, 14 July 2022 12:00 (30 minutes)

Isotopic dependence of charge and matter radii.

I.N. Borzov 1,2, S.V. Tolokonnikov1,3

1 National Research Centre "Kurchatov Institute", Moscow, Russia

2Bogolubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, Joint Institute of Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia

3 Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology (National Research University), Dolgoprudny, Russia

†E-mail: Borzov_IN@nrcki.ru, cc: ibor48@mail.ru

Fully self-consistent study of the charge and matter radii in the chains of the Ar - Ti, Ni, Cu isotopes is presented. The nuclei with pairing in both neutron and proton sectors are treated within the Energy Density Functional (EDF) approach with the Fayans functional DF3-a [1]. Recently the new option of this functional named Fy(Δ r,HFB) has become popular [2]. We compare their performance in describing both isotopic trend of the radii and odd-even staggering (OES) found in the CERN-ISOLDE experiments for 36-52Ca [2] and 36-52K [3] isotopes (Figs.1,2). For K, Ca, Sc isotopes, the calculated differential charge radii $\delta\langle r^2 \rangle$ relative to $N = 28$ show universal increase independent on the mass number A in agreement with the data [2-3]. Strong increase of the radii at $N > 28$ in K, Ca, Sc isotopes (Fig.1b) is explained by A -dependent contribution of the quasiparticle-phonon coupling [4,5]. The corresponding 3-point filters $\Delta(3)$ for the binding energies and radii are consistent with magicity of the $N=20, 28, 32$ shells in K isotopes [5] (Fig.2). Supported by the grant of Russian Scientific Foundation (RSF 21-12-00061).

Fig. 1. a) The differential charge radii of K, Ca, Sc isotopes calculated within the DF3-a functional compared to the data [2,3]. b) An impact of the quasiparticle-phonon coupling on the differential charge radii of Ca, isotopes[4].

Fig. 2. The 3-point filters $\Delta(3)$ for binding energies and charge radii of K isotopes calculated from the DF3-a functional with (red) and without (blue) gradient paring vs the data [3].

1. S.V. Tolokonnikov, E.E. Saperstein, Phys. At. Nucl. 74, 1277 (2011).
2. A.J. Miller et.al. Nature Physics, 15, 432 (2019).
3. A.Koszorús et.al. Nature Physics, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41567-020-01136-5> (2020).
4. E.E. Saperstein, I.N. Borzov, S.V. Tolokonnikov, JETP Letters, 104,417 (2016).
5. I.N. Borzov, S.V. Tolokonnikov, Phys.At.Nucl. 85(3) (2022).

The speaker is a student or young scientist

No

Section

1. Nuclear structure: theory and experiment

Primary author: BORZOV, Ivan (NIC Kurchatov Institute)

Presenter: BORZOV, Ivan (NIC Kurchatov Institute)

Session Classification: Plenary session