

## PROMPT FISSION NEUTRON SPECTRA OF $^{235}\text{U}$ AND $^{239}\text{Pu}$

Tuesday, 12 July 2022 15:40 (30 minutes)

Pre-fission neutrons influence the observed prompt fission neutron spectra (PFNS), TKE, average number of prompt fission neutrons,  $(n,F)$  and  $(n,xn)$  reaction cross sections. Though pre-fission neutrons in  $^{235}\text{U}(n,F)$  were first observed around  $E_n \sim 14$  MeV [1] and then at  $E_n \sim 7$  MeV [2], only now measured data base [3-6] allows to demonstrate the complex influence of fissility of nuclides  $^{236}\text{U}$  and  $^{240}\text{Pu}$  on the PFNS shape. The model parameters are fixed at thermal neutron energy [7] and the renormalized for the TKE measured data. The PFNS shapes at  $E_n \sim 6-7$  MeV are strongly correlated with nuclide fissilities in  $^{235}\text{U}(n,xnf)$  and  $^{239}\text{Pu}(n,xnf)$  reactions and competition of  $(n,xnX)$  reactions. Calculated exclusive  $(n,n\gamma)$ ,  $(n,2n)_{1,2}$  and  $(n,nf)_1$  pre-fission neutrons spectra allow to demonstrate that the amplitude of  $(n,nf)$  spectra is the largest for  $^{235}\text{U}(n,F)$  at  $E_n \sim 6.5$  MeV (Fig. 1) while for  $^{239}\text{Pu}(n,F)$  at  $E_n \sim 6.0$  MeV (Fig. 2). When  $(n,nf)$  reaction competes only with  $(n,n\gamma)$  reaction, the pre-FNS shapes are rather similar ( $E_n \sim 5.5$  MeV), though the contribution of  $(n,nf)_1$  is much higher in case of  $^{235}\text{U}(n,F)$  reaction. When the  $(n,2n)$  reaction channel opens, the pre-FNS shapes reveal drastic influence of  $(n,2n)_1$  and  $(n,2n)_2$  neutron spectra. The fig. 1 demonstrates partials for  $^{235}\text{U}(n, F)$  reaction, the numerical data [8] are compatible with data [4,5]. The fig. 2 demonstrates partials for  $^{239}\text{Pu}(n, F)$  reaction, while the data [3-6] are compatible with predicted  $(n,xnf)$  contributions [9]. The lower curves and data points show the partitioning of the PFNS into the  $(n, f)$ ,  $(n,nf)$  and  $(n,nf)_1$  contributions.

1. Yu.A. Vasil'ev, Yu.S. Zamyatnin et al., Soviet Physics JETP, 11, 483 (1960).
2. A. Bertin, R. Bois, J. Frehaut 3d All Union Conf.on Neutron Phys.,Kiev,9-13 Jun 1975, Vol.4, p.303 (1975).
3. P. Marini, J. Taieb, B. Laurent, et al., Phys. Rev. C 101, 044614 (2020).
4. K.J. Kelly et al., Eur. Phys. Journ. Web of Conf., 2020, v. 239, 05010.
5. K.J. Kelly, M. Devlin, J.A. Gomez, et al., LA-UR-18-30526, 2018.
6. K. J. Kelly, M. Devlin J.M. O'Donnel et al, Phys. Rev. C 102, 034615(2020).
7. V.M. Maslov, N.A. Tetereva, V.G. Pronyaev et al., Atomic Energy, 108, 432 (2010)
8. V.M. Maslov, N.V. Kornilov, A.B. Kagalenko et al., Nucl. Phys. A 760, 274 (2005), <https://www-nds.iaea.org/minskact/data/92235f18.txt>.
9. V.M. Maslov, Atomic Energy, 103, No. 2, 633 (2007)

### The speaker is a student or young scientist

No

### Section

1. Experimental and theoretical studies of nuclear reactions

**Primary authors:** MASLOV, Vladimir; Dr MASLOV, Vladimir (1Slobodskoy proezd 69, 220025 Minsk, Byelorussia)

**Presenter:** MASLOV, Vladimir

**Session Classification:** Experimental and theoretical studies of nuclear reactions